Reply of Government.—Not necessary now.

Subject No. 56.

Arguments by Lawyers in Courts to be in Kanarese.

Mr. Srinivasa Rao of Mysore Taluk.
Mr. Amble Annaiya Pandit.

Summary of Discussion.—It is requested that in Lower Courts arguments in original suits may be ordered to be made in the Kannada language.

Reply of Government.—As a rule the pleadings and arguments in Munsiffs' Courts are in Kanarese. But it is impossible to avoid the English language when a Pleader refers to decided cases or argues upon the interpretation of a Regulation. The existing practice appears to be sufficient.

Subject No. 57.

Partition of houses within the Municipal limits under Civil Court decrees.

Mr. M. Venkatakrishnaiya.
" M. C. Ranga Iyengar.
" Madappa.
" Anantharajaiya of the Mysore City Municipality.

Summary of Discussion.—It is requested that Civil Court decrees for the partition of houses and lands within the Municipal limits may be ordered to be forwarded to the Presidents of the Local Municipalities for execution with power either to partition such property or assign the whole or any portion of it to one of the parties and pay the proportionate value thereof to the other or others.

Reply of Government.—The representation is with special reference to the Mysore City, and is because Civil Courts are stated to make partition irrespective of ventilation. The matter will be referred to the Trust Board for their opinion.

Subject No. 58.

Holding the Manjarabad Assistant Commissioner's Court for Six months at Belur.

Messrs. Anantakesavachar, M. Mallegowad and B. Payanna Setty.

Summary of Discussion.—The Court of the Sub-Division Magistrate is located at Saklespur. It may be caused to be held for six months at Belur and six months at Saklespur.

Reply of Government.—The rules already provide for all divisional Assistant Commissioners touring constantly in their taluks. No special rule for the Assistant Commissioner of Saklespur, in addition to this, is necessary.

Subject No. 59.

Honorary Magistrates.

Messrs. M. Venkatakrishnaiya, M. C. Ranga Iyengar, Madappa and Anantharajaiya of Mysore City Municipality.

Summary of Discussion.—The constitution of a Bench of Honorary Magistrates is proposed for the Mysore City.

Reply of Government.—Will be considered.

Subject No. 60.

Appellate powers against the convictions and sentence passed by Magistrates.

Mr. Nadig Sivappa, Sorab Taluk.

Summary of Discussion.—It is feared that failure of justice may occur by the executive officers such as Deputy Commissioners, Assistant Commissioners exercising appellate powers in criminal cases. In the interests of justice it is solicited that this power of hearing appeals may be delegated to District Judges and Sub-Judges.

Reply of Government.—Not feasible.

Subject No. 61.

Batta to witnesses in Criminal cases.

Messrs. Srinivasa Rao and Rama Rao, (Malur Taluk).
Mr. Seenappa, Shanbhog, (Bangalore District

Summary of Discussion.—There is no sort of remuneration whatever to witnesses coming in criminal cases. The witnesses have to travel a long distance, not to speak of the expenses involved, whenever cases are adjourned. On failure of attendance, warrants are issued. It is prayed that witness batta may be allowed to the people as is done in Civil Courts.